

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4266 OF 2021
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 1085 OF 2010

Vasant Gangaram Pedamkar ... Applicant

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Vasant Gangaram Pedamkar ... Appellant
Versus

Harishchandra Gangaram Pedamkar
(Now Deceased)

Mrs. Asmita Anil Dalvi nee Asmita
Harishchandra Pedamkar and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. A.A. Siddiquie i/b A. A. Siddique and Associates, for the Applicant/Appellant.

Mr. Rajesh B. Jain a/w Rohit Jain and Neha R. Doshi i/b LEGAL JURIS, for the Respondents.

CORAM : PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.

DATED : 11th APRIL, 2023.

P.C.

Not on board. Taken on board.

2 Having read the application preferred by the applicant under
Order 41 Rule 27 of the Civil Procedure Code, it is noted that the
tenor of the language is so defective that it is difficult to gather as to

what the applicant seeks to convey. Learned Counsel for the applicant, therefore, seeks liberty to withdraw the application and to file fresh one.

3 Liberty as prayed for is granted.

4 Application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

5 Liberty to learned Counsel for the respondents to file reply after filing the fresh application by the learned Counsel for the applicant within three weeks thereafter.

[PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.]