

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 30588 OF 2022
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 189 OF 2023

Future General Insurance Co. Ltd.
Thr. Its Manager, Mumbai

...Applicant

Versus

Ravindra V. Vichare And Ors.

...Respondents

Ms. Riddhi Chavan a/w Ms. Nitika Singh, Ms. Shruti Shelar i/by M/s. Res
Juris for Applicant.

CORAM : S. G. DIGE, J.

DATE : 28th February 2023.

P. C. :

1. Heard Learned Counsel for the Applicant.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the notices were issued to Respondent No. 1 and Respondent No.2 but these notices could not be served on them as they did not found on given address. Hence, requests to allow the Application.
3. Perused Bailiff's Report.
4. Bailiff's Report shows that Respondent No.1 was not found on given address, so Bailiff affixed notice on the door of house of Respondent No.1. Bailiff's Report in respect of Respondent No.2 shows that Respondent No.2 could not be traced on given address.

5. In view of above, Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and (b).

(S.G. DIGE, J.)