



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 11354 OF 2023

Ms. Savina R. Crasto

.Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & anr.

.Respondents

Ms. Savina R. Crasto, Advocate, for the Petitioner

Mr. P. G. Sawant, AGP, for the Respondent – State

CORAM : MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.

DATE : 29.09.2023

P. C.

1. Heard Ms. Crasto, Petitioner-in-person.

2. The Petitioner challenges the order dated 09.08.2023 passed by learned State Information Commissioner. By the impugned order, the Appeal filed by the Petitioner has been kept on 08.11.2023 at 2.00 p.m. for further hearing. The order also records that the Petitioner sought time to file written arguments before learned Commissioner and for that purpose, time of 30 days was granted. The impugned order passed by learned Commissioner only grants adjournment to 08.11.2023 and kept the matter for hearing on 08.11.2023 at 2.00 p. m..

3. It is the contention of Ms. Crasto that the Right to Information Act, 2005 mandates that the Appeal be disposed of within 30 days. However, factual position on record shows that the Petitioner herself sought time for filing written submission and accordingly, time was granted.

4. Therefore, this is not a case where any interference is warranted under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed, however, with no order as to costs.

(MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.)