

Urmila Ashirwad Mhatre	...	Petitioner
V/s.		
The State of Maharashtra through ...		Respondents
Principal Secretary Urban		
Development Department and Ors.		

Mr. Vijay S. Gharat for respondent nos. 13 to 16.

1 of 2

Collector. However, he makes a request that if such an application filed, the final decision to be rendered by the Collector may be made time bout.

2. Learned AGP for the State and learned counsel for the Zilla Parishad and also learned counsel for the respondent nos. 13 to 16 submit that availability of statutory remedy is a matter of record and therefore, appropriate order would be passed in this case.

3. In view of the above, the parties are relegated to the remedy as available under section 53 (2A) of the Act, of 1959 and for that purpose liberty is granted to the petitioner to file appropriate application within two weeks from the date of this order and in case such an application is filed, same shall be decided by the Collector i.e. respondent no.2 in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible within twelve weeks from the date of filing of the application. All questions are kept open.

4. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(R.N. LADDHA, J)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J)