

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

FIRST APPEAL NO. 1252 OF 2013

Girish Vinodchandra Dhruva and ors. Appellants
v/s.
Smt. Neena Paresh Shah and anr. Respondents

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3479 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 1252 OF 2013**

Girish Vinodchandra Dhruva and ors. Appellants
v/s.
Smt. Neena Paresh Shah and anr. Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3007 OF 2022
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 1252 OF 2013**

Smt. Neena Paresh Shah and anr. Appellants
v/s.
Girish Vinodchandra Dhruva and ors. Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 18033 OF 2022
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 1252 OF 2013**

Girish Vinodchandra Dhruva and ors. Appellants
v/s.
Smt. Neena Paresh Shah and anr. Respondents

Mr. Mayur Khandeparkar a/w. Mr. Sanket Mungale
for the Appellants.
Mr. Kevic Setalvad, senior Advocate with Vatsal Shah,
Mr. Chetan Mehta, Ms Vidhi Shah i/b. M/s. M.M.K. Law

Associates for the Respondents in FA/1252/2013 and for the Applicants in IA/3007/2022.

CORAM: SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.

DATED : 12/06/2023.

P.C. :-

. Though the judgment is passed after six months, learned counsel for both the parties has stated that it should not be treated as de part-heard and have consented for pronouncement of judgment.

(SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)