

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1308 OF 2019
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.783 OF 2019
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.722 OF 2019**

Dinesh R. Sharma

.... Applicant

versus

State of Maharashtra

.... Respondent

.....

- Ms. Shakuntala Sharma i/b. Global Juris, Advocate for Applicant.
- Smt. M. R. Tidke, APP for the State/Respondent.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.
DATE : 06th JANUARY, 2023**

P.C. :

1. The Applicant was granted bail pending his Appeal vide order dated 24/06/2019. In clause (iii) it was mentioned thus;

(iii) “The applicant be enlarged on bail on furnishing P.R. bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one or more solvent sureties in the like amount. The applicant shall furnish fresh sureties.”

2. This application is filed for modification of this particular operative part. According to learned counsel, interpretation of this clause would mean that the Applicant would have to find a different person to stand as surety. The said clause does not indicate the apprehension expressed by learned counsel. The same person can stand as a surety who had stood as surety earlier during trial. However, the Applicant would have to follow the fresh procedure for furnishing the surety.

3. With these observations, this application is disposed of.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)