

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.3570 OF 2022

Santoshkumar R. Pandey

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

Mr. Anjaykumar Kori, for the Petitioner.

Smt. M.H. Mhatre, APP for the State.

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE &
ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, JJ.**

DATE : 9th MARCH, 2023.

P.C. :

1. Heard.

2. The communication dated 09.03.2023 received by the learned APP from Nirmal Nagar Police Station is taken on record and marked as document 'A'. It says that charge-sheet has been filed against five accused-persons and since no evidence could be gathered against two accused-persons, no charge-sheet has been filed against them. In the present case, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner does not press prayer clause (a) and would like to press only prayer clause (b). As prayer clause (a) is not pressed, the

petition as regards prayer clause (a) is dismissed. As regards prayer clause (b), however, it must be stated that document 'A' answers prayer clause (b) and it serves the purpose of prayer clause (b).

3. In view of document 'A', we find that purpose of this petition has been served and this petition is, therefore, disposed of as having served its purpose.

[ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, J]

[SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.]