

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.9071 OF 2023

Mr. Pratik Pratap Maheshwari

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

.. Respondents

- Mr. Amit A. Karva, for the Petitioner.
- Mr. Vijay D. Patil, for Respondent No.2–Corporation.
- Mr. Y.D. Patil, AGP for Respondent No.1–State.

CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE &

RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ

DATE : 21st JULY, 2023.

P.C. :

1. Not on Board. Mentioned. Upon mentioning, taken on Production Board.
2. Heard. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith by consent of learned counsel for the respective parties.
3. Purpose of this petition, in our opinion, would stand served by giving necessary liberty to the petitioner. Accordingly, we grant liberty to the petitioner to file regularization application before Respondent No.2 within a period of one week from today and in case such application is filed in the stipulated time, the same shall be decided by

Respondent No.2, in accordance with law at the earliest and in any case within eight weeks from the date of filing of the application. Till then, no coercive action be taken against the structure which is in respect of the impugned notice and also for a further period of two weeks in case the regularization application is rejected by Respondent No.2.

4. Rule is made absolute in the above terms.

5. Petition is disposed of accordingly.

[RAJESH S. PATIL, J.]

[SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.]