

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION No. 12426 OF 2022

Maharashtra Majdoor Sanghatana

...Petitioner

Vs.

Pimpri Chinchwad Mathadi and

Unprotected Workers Board and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr. Kiran Bapat, Senior Counsel a/w. Tejesh Dande a/w. Mr. Bharat Gadhavi i/b. Tejesh Dande & Associates for Petitioner

Ms. Pavitra Manesh i/b. Mr. M.S. Topkar for Respondent No.1 – Corporation

Ms. M.P. Thakur, AGP for Respondent No.2-State

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE &
RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATED : 21st JUNE, 2023

P.C.:

1. Heard.
2. Rule. Rule is made returnable by consent of parties.
3. Considering the fact that there is a representation made by the Petitioner to Respondent No.1, which is still pending, it would be in the interest of justice that if the representation is decided by Respondent No.1 within a time bound period, it would serve the purpose of writ petition and so, we issue the following direction:

(i) Respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the Petitioner dated 9/8/2021 in accordance with law, at the earliest and in any case within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

(ii) Rule is made absolute in the above terms.

(iii) Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)