

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.9027 OF 2017

Mrs.Dhana Devi Ramagya Yadav and Ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
Through the Chief Secretary,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and Ors. ... Respondents

None for the Petitioners.

Mr.S.H. Kankal, AGP for the Respondent – State.

Mr.Satish Kamat, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

Ms.Sulajya Patil i/b. Mr.Abhijeet Kadam, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.**

DATE : 31 October 2023.

P.C. :

None appears for the Petitioners.

2 The learned counsel for Respondent Nos.2 and 3 and the learned AGP are present. The Petitioners have filed this Petition in the year 2017 seeking a direction regarding electricity connection to Respondent No.2–Company (now Adani Electricity). Respondent No.3 has intervened objecting to the grant of connection to the Petitioners. The Petition has remained pending since 2017. The position as of today is not known. It could be that the changed

electricity company may have its own different policy. In these circumstances, we dispose of the Writ Petition permitting the Petitioners to make a fresh application to Respondent No.2–Adani Electricity who will as per its policy will consider the same, and if necessary, consider the objection of Respondent No.3 as well and take necessary decision. If the application is made within a period of four weeks, the same be decided within a period of four weeks thereafter. If the Petitioners still aggrieved by the outcome, Petitioners will have their remedy open.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)