

jvs

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION**

SALUNKE
JV

Digitally signed
by SALUNKE JV
Date: 2023.02.01
16:55:49 +0530

WRIT PETITION NO. 9394 OF 2022

Laxmikant s/o. Harishchandra Daware } Petitioner
versus
State of Maharashtra and Ors. } Respondents

Mr. S. R. Ganbavale i/b. Mr. Subham Kanade
for the petitioner.

Mr. G. S. Hegde with Ms. P. M. Bhansali for
respondents 2 and 3 (CIDCO).

Mr. B. V. Samant, AGP with Mr. P. P. Pujari,
AGP for respondent no. 1 (State).

**CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA, Act.CJ.&
SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.**

DATE: JANUARY 31, 2023

P.C.:

- 1.** We have heard Mr. Ganbavale, learned advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Hegde, learned advocate for respondents 2 and 3 (CIDCO).
- 2.** In the affidavit filed on behalf of respondents 2 and 3 in para 15, it is stated that the objection raised by other employees as per circular dated 17th August 2021 regarding seniority list are under consideration.
- 3.** The learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has also raised objection to the seniority list. The same is not yet decided. Precisely, the same is also the prayer clause (c) of the writ petition.
- 4.** In light of that, the respondents shall take decision upon the objection (page 32 of the writ petition) raised by the petitioner to

the seniority list on its own merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and if possible, within eight weeks. Dependent upon the decision taken on the objection of the petitioner, the parties may resort to the further course of action.

5. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)

(ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)