

Digitally signed
by SATISH
RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR
Date:
2023.01.07
10:44:54
+0530

SATISH
RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 716 OF 2006

Gordhandas Aildas Dusija ... Appellant

V/s.

Jagannivas S. Bhat and Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 717 OF 2006**

Gordhandas Aildas Dusija ... Appellant

V/s.

Prashant J. Bhat and Anr. ... Respondents

None for the Appellant.

None for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr.H.J.Dedhia, APP for the Respondent No.4 – State.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 6th JANUARY, 2023

P. C. :-

1. When the matters are called out, no one is present on behalf of either of the side.
2. On 9th December, 2022 also, no one was present. Earlier also, no one was present.
3. In fact, the complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (26 of 1881) was dismissed for the reason that Accused was having legal disability to operate the bank account and as

such, it does not fall within the purview of Section 138 of the N.I.Act. Even though, the Appeals were listed after so long time, this Court has granted an opportunity to the Appellant to remain present and argue the Appeal. However, no one is present.

4. Hence, both the Appeals are dismissed for want of prosecution.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]