

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 10106 OF 2023

Mrs.Mangala Ashok Kharjul ... Petitioner
versus
The State of Maharashtra and Others ... Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10107 OF 2023

Mr.Ramdas Shrihari Ghumre ... Petitioner
versus
The State of Maharashtra and Others ... Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10117 OF 2023

Mr.Narayan Laxman Jadhav ... Petitioner
versus
The State of Maharashtra and Others ... Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10118 OF 2023

Mr. Sampat Ramchandra Vatpade ... Petitioner
versus
The State of Maharashtra and Others ... Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10119 OF 2023

Mr. Bhushan Jibhavu Gaikwad (Patil) ... Petitioner
versus
The State of Maharashtra and Others ... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 10124 OF 2023**

Mr. Jibhavu Sada Gaikwad (Patil) ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others ... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 10125 OF 2023**

Mr.Dattatray Kachru Aher ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others ... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 10128 OF 2023**

Mr.Yadav Mhashu Niphade ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others ... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 10307 OF 2023**

Mr.Pandurang Pundlik Ahire ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others ... Respondents

.....

Mr. Ajinkya Taskar i/b. Mr.Narayan G. Rokade for the Petitioner in all Petitions.

Ms. R.A.Salunkhe, AGP for the State- Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 in all Petitions except WP No. 10117 of 2023.

Mr. N.K.Rajpurohit, AGP for the State- Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 in WP No. 10117 of 2023.

.....

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
SMT. MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.**

DATE : 21 AUGUST 2023

P.C.:

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

2. For the relief that is sought and the order that is proposed to be passed, we do not deem it necessary to issue notice to the concerned Co-operative Society.

3. The Petitioners have stated that they have availed a loan from the concerned Co-operative Society. According to them, the loan was for agricultural purpose. They seek benefit of Section 44A of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 and they have made certain representations in respect of the same. The main grievance is that their representations are not being decided.

4. We proceed on the basis, as informed by the Petitioners, that representations are pending and not yet decided. Without going into the question whether the Petitioners are entitled to the benefit of Section 44A or not, which will have to be decided by the concerned Respondents and the Society, we dispose of the petitions directing that the representation of the Petitioners be decided within a period of eight weeks subject to earlier time bound commitments and pressing public duties of the concerned Respondents.

5. We once again make it clear that as to what decision is to be taken on the representations is left to the Society/Respondent concerned, who will take a decision as per law. The Writ Petitions are disposed of.

(SMT. MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.) (NITIN JAMDAR, J.)

JYOTI
PRAKASH
PAWAR

Digitally signed
by JYOTI
PRAKASH
PAWAR
Date:
2023.08.22
11:17:06 +0530