

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1626 OF 2023

Rajendra Dinesh Pandey @ Rajan

Dinesh Pandey

...Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Mr. Sayyed Sabirali - Advocate for the Applicant

Mr. H.J. Dedhia - APP for the Respondent-State

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 15th JUNE, 2023

P.C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned APP for the Respondent – State.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant tried his level best to convince me that the materials collected alongwith charge-sheet filed against other accused person, either it is insufficient or there are self contradictions. However it has not appealed to my conscious. Because *prima-facie* involvement of the applicant is disclosed. And the grounds taken today cannot be decided in hearing of anticipatory application. Hence, I was disinclined to grant anticipatory bail.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks liberty to withdraw it.
4. Anticipatory bail application is disposed of as withdrawn.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]