

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.8982 OF 2023

Subhash Namdeo Rane ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ... Respondents

Ms.Preeti Walimbe, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr.R.P. Kadam, AGP for the Respondent – State.

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE : 12 SEPTEMBER 2023.

P.C. :

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2 The learned counsel for the Petitioner states that ground “h” taken in this Petition which was also taken in the Original Application, namely, that the Respondents by their conduct of granting increments were estopped from contending that the Division Bench of this Court in Writ Petition No.2930 of 2014, had directed that the Petitioner shall not be entitled to any benefits during the period which he was out of employment. The learned counsel submits that the Petitioner intends to approach the Tribunal by a review application, as according to the Petitioner this ground was not considered.

RAJESHRI
PRAKASH
AHER

Digitally signed by
RAJESHRI PRAKASH
AHER
Date: 2023.09.15
17:26:04 +0530

3 That being the course of action which Petitioner intends to adopt, we dispose of the Writ Petition as above.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)