

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 6207 OF 2023

Arau Real Estate Pvt. Ltd. Thr
Its Authorised Representative

...Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra Thr
Its Secretary And Ors

...Respondent

Mr. S. R. Nargolkar a/w. Mr. Arjun Kadam, *for the Petitioner.*

Mr. V. M. Mali, *AGP for the State.*

CORAM: RAJESH S. PATIL, J
VACATION COURT

DATED: 9th May, 2023

PC:-

1. After the matter was argued for some time, the counsels agrees, suffice would be the purpose if the impugned order dated 10th March 2023 passed by the Respondent No.2, and order dated 20th July 2022 passed by the Additional Controller of Stamps, Mumbai and order dated 24th May 2021 issued by the Collector of Stamps, Mumbai be

quashed and set aside and matter be remanded back to the Chief Controlling Revenue Authority, Maharashtra State who's office is at Pune.

2. The Chief Controlling Revenue Authority (CCRA) to decide the issue since it is the case of the Petitioner that without any notice of the hearing the impugned order dated 24th May 2021 is passed. The said officer, i.e., CCRA should fix a hearing and give notice to that effect to the Petitioner at least one week before. The Petitioners are permitted to file written submission before the said officer. The said officer to give chance to the Petitioner to represent himself personally. The officer should give personal hearing and pass reasoned order taking into consideration all the issues which are raised by the petitioner. The said order to be passed, to be communicated to the Petitioner.

3. The hearing should be concluded within a period of three months. The Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(RAJESH S. PATIL,J.)