

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.462 OF 2014

Urmila Syntex

...Appellant

Versus

Sangeeta Jain & Anr.

...Respondents

None for the Appellant.

Mr. Y. Y. Dabke, APP for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 18th APRIL, 2023

P.C.

1. No one is present on behalf of the Appellant and Respondent No.1-Accused.

2. There is acquittal for the offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. Read the orders dated 31st January 2023, 28th February 2023, 28th March 2023. Respondent No.1-Accused is not served about this Appeal. There was a service affidavit dated 1st August 2014. It mentions that notice was sent on last known address, but it does not

mention what has happened about the service. So, Respondent No.1 could not be served, either directly or by way of presumed service.

3. Appeal is pending since 2014. More than sufficient opportunity is granted to the Appellant. So, Appeal is dismissed for not taking steps against Respondent No.1.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]