

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 6540 OF 2023

Prahlad Vijay Singh Rana & Anr.	...Petitioners
Versus	
L & T Housing Finance Ltd & Ors.	...Respondents

....

Mr. Rajesh Tekale for the Petitioner.

Mr. Shashank Fadia for the Respondent No.1.

Ms. S Vyas, 'B' Panel Counsel for State/Respondent Nos.2 & 3.

**CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
 RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 6 JUNE, 2023

P.C.

1. Not on board taken on board on a praecipe as moved on behalf of the Petitioners.
2. After this petition was heard for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioners fairly states that the petitioners would withdraw the petition so as to avail of an alternate remedy as available under Section 17 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002.
3. Allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.
4. All contentions of the parties are expressly kept open.

5. At this stage learned counsel for the petitioner states that it is likely that the respondents may take coercive action against the petitioners. If that be so the petitioners are always at liberty to move the Tribunal, which would certainly consider the prayers of the petitioners for any urgent interim reliefs.

6. Disposed of. No costs.

[RAJESH S. PATIL J.]

[G.S. KULKARNI, J.]