



3. The appellant claims to be the son of deceased Jerome Xavier Vaz, however, name of the appellant is Jude Clement Vaz wherein father of the appellant is different than the original respondent whose name was Jerome Xavier Vaz.

4. Reasons given by the learned Joint Charity Commissioner in paragraph 13 of the impugned judgment are correct and proper. *Prima facie*, it appears that the appellant has no *locus standi* to file the appeal against the impugned judgment and order. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed.

5. In view of dismissal of the appeal, Interim Applications, if any, stand disposed of.

[PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.]