

HARSHADA H. SAWANT
(P.A.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.5860 OF 2011

Maharashtra General Kamgar Union .. Petitioner
Versus
M/s. Gharda Chemicals Ltd. .. Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.5141 OF 2011

Maharashtra General Kamgar Union .. Petitioner
Versus
M/s. Gharda Chemicals Ltd. .. Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.5139 OF 2011

Maharashtra General Kamgar Union .. Petitioner
Versus
M/s. Gharda Chemicals Ltd. .. Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.4453 OF 2011

Maharashtra General Kamgar Union .. Petitioner
Versus
M/s. Gharda Chemicals Ltd. .. Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.4454 OF 2011

Maharashtra General Kamgar Union .. Petitioner
Versus
M/s. Gharda Chemicals Ltd. .. Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.5140 OF 2011

Maharashtra General Kamgar Union .. Petitioner
Versus
M/s. Gharda Chemicals Ltd. .. Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.8178 OF 2011

Maharashtra General Kamgar Union	.. Petitioner
Versus	
M/s. Gharda Chemicals Ltd.	.. Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6453 OF 2012

Maharashtra General Kamgar Union	.. Petitioner
Versus	
M/s. Gharda Chemicals Ltd.	.. Respondent

.....

- Mr. Amit Singh a/w. Mr. Kabeer Pansare and Ms. Shivani Deshmukh i./by Abhay Nevagi & Associates, Advocates for Respondent in all Writ Petitions.

.....

CORAM : MILIND N. JADHAV, J.

DATE : OCTOBER 17, 2023

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr. Singh, learned Advocate for Respondent in all Writ Petitions.

2. In view of the order passed on 25.11.2023 in Writ Petition No.5856 of 2011 (directions contained in paragraph No.4 thereof) and the subsequent order dated 09.10.2023, the Writ Petitions are listed on Board for hearing today.

3. As observed earlier, once again the Petitioner is not represented. I am informed that the learned Advocate on record for the Petitioner has expired in the interregnum.

4. *Prima facie*, I see that two concurrent Awards passed against

the employees whose cause is being espoused by the Petitioner – Union is the subject matter of challenge in the Petition. Petitions cannot be kept pending on the record of the Court. Considering that after hearing the parties, Rule was granted by this Court as far back as in 2011, liberty is given to Petitioner to apply for restoration in the event if the Petitioner desires to do so. Considering the order passed in Writ Petition No.5856 of 2011, liberty is further given to the employees concerned whose cause is being espoused by Petitioner – Union to also seek their impleadment and restoration of the Writ Petitions, if so desired.

5. With the above liberty, Writ Petitions are dismissed for non-prosecution.

H. H. SAWANT

[MILIND N. JADHAV, J.]

HARSHADA
HANUMANT
SAWANT
Digitally signed
by HARSHADA
HANUMANT
SAWANT
Date:
2023.10.19
10:36:22 +0530