

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.464 OF 2016

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.594 OF 2016
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.464 OF 2016

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.469 OF 2023
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.464 OF 2016

Kailash Girishchandra Upreti Appellant/Applicant/Petitioner
V/S
Purshottam Sadashiv Gharat & Ors.Respondents
...

Mr. S.A. Sawant a/w Ms. Samiksha S. Mane i/b Mr. Heramb S. Kadam for
the Appellant/Applicant in AO.
Mr. Akhilesh Dubey for Respondent Nos.5 (5/1 to 5/4) in AO and for
Respondent Nos.1 (1(1) to 1(4)) in CP.
Mr. Atul Rajadyaksha, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Vagish Mishra i/b M/s.
PRK & Co. for Respondent Nos.1 to 4 in AO and for Respondent Nos.2 to 5
in Contempt Petition.
Mr. Rohit Sakhadeo for Respondent Nos.6(1), 6(2), 6(3)-CIDCO.
...

CORAM: SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.
DATE : OCTOBER 31, 2023.

P.C.:

1 At the request of learned Counsel appearing for the Contempt
Petitioner, Petitioner is permitted to delete Respondent Nos.6, 6(1), 6(2)

and 6(3)-CIDCO. Petitioner to make necessary amendment in the Contempt Petition forthwith.

2 The learned Counsel appearing for the parties would jointly make a statement that the parties have amicably resolved the dispute and have executed the Consent Terms. The Consent Terms are tendered. The same are taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. The Consent Terms are signed by all the parties who are personally present before the Court. The learned Advocates have identified their signatures. The statements made in the Consent Terms are accepted as Undertakings. The Appeal from Order is accordingly disposed of in view of the Consent Terms.

3 In view of the disposal of the Appeal from Order, nothing would survive in the Civil Application and the same is accordingly disposed of.

4 In view of the settlement of the disputes between the parties, nothing would survive in the Contempt Petition and the Contempt Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)