

Anand

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6300 OF 2004

Pravin Dattatraya Shinde & anr. .Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & ors. .Respondents

None for the Petitioners

Ms R. M. Shinde, AGP, for Respondent No. 1 - State

**CORAM : A. S. CHANDURKAR AND
ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, JJ.**

DATE : 28 FEBRUARY 2023

P. C.

. It is informed that the learned counsel for the Petitioners is no more. Hence, notice was issued to the Petitioners for engagement of another counsel. The notice issued to the Petitioner No. 1 has been duly served. However, the notice issued to the Petitioner No. 2 is returned unserved with the remark "The Petitioner No. 2 is not residing at the given address".

2. Petitioner No. 1, who is served is absent. As per the earlier order dated 03.01.2023, time has been granted to remove the office objections within a period of eight weeks and a conditional order in that regard was passed. Since the Petitioner

No. 1 is absent and the correct address of Petitioner No. 2 is not available, there is no option but to dismiss the Writ Petition for want of prosecution in terms of the order dated 03.01.2023. Needless to state that if the grievance of the Petitioners survives, they are free to take appropriate steps in that regard. No costs.

(ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, J.)

(A. S. CHANDURKAR, J.)