



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3675 OF 2020
IN
REVIEW PETITION (ST) NO. 5587 OF 2020
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 8663 OF 2010

Mahindra Bhimrao Suryawanshi ... Applicant

V/s.

State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Ms. Anita Gavkar, I.by Mr. S.C. Yeramwar for review
petitioner/applicant.

Ms. S.S. Bhende – AGP for State.

CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE &
FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, JJ

DATE : 29th SEPTEMBER 2023.

PC:

1. Heard.
2. The delay is sought to be explained on the basis of the law subsequently laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of '*Anand Katole Vs. Committee for Scrutiny Committee and Verification of Tribe Claim*¹ and in the case of '*Jaywant Dilip Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors*' in Civil Appeal No. 2336 of 2011 dated 08.03.2017. However, on going through the order sought to be reviewed, we find that it has already taken into consideration the

1(2011 (6) Mh.L.J. (SC) 919)

requirements of law as laid down in the said cases by considering all the facts and circumstances of the case including the relevant documents. Therefore, we do not think that, now the petitioner could be said to have shown any sufficient cause for condoning the delay in the matter. Even otherwise the law which is said to be relevant for dealing with the petitioners case is of the years of 2011 and 2017 respectively. But this petition has been filed in July 2020 after a period of about more than three years for which there is no satisfactory explanation given by the petitioner. The delay condonation application is, therefore, rejected.

3. Review petition therefore, cannot be entertained by this Court.

(FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, J)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J)