

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1246 OF 2022

Ganesh Ratan Suryavanshi

...Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Mr. Ram Mani G. Upadhyay - Advocate for the Applicant

Mr. H. J. Dedhia - APP for the Respondent-States

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 06th JUNE, 2023

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned APP.

2. After hearing both the sides, I am not inclined to grant a bail. The Applicant is husband of the injured and he assaulted the injured with the help of sickle. Prior to the assault he has thrown chilly powder. The injuries are on vital part of the body and there are multiple injuries. Medical certificates issued by the Corporation hospital and by Nair hospital are on record. Sickle is seized from the spot. Apart from that there are earlier non-cognizable complaint registered against present Applicant at the instance of the wife of the

Applicant..

3. There are two children who are minors out of the said wedlock and mother of the present Applicant is taking their care. However on that ground we cannot grant him bail as there are sufficient materials. If the trial will not start within a period of one year from today, the Applicant is entitled to ask for bail a fresh. Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Court for information and necessary action.

4. The grievance is made that even though the case is committed, the Court of the Additional Sessions Judge, Vasai has not given number. If it is so, then let case be numbered.

5. Bail application is disposed of accordingly.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]