

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.12848 OF 2017

Narayan Gunaji Dabholkar ... Petitioner.

V/S.

The State Of Maharashtra And
Ors. ... Respondents.

.....

Mr.Surendra K.Chaudhari for the Petitioner.
Mr.N.C.Walimbe AGP for the Respondents No.1 to 3.

.....

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR, AND
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.**

DATE : 24 August 2023.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties. We have perused the order passed by the Tribunal. Though there was a challenge to the orders passed by the Respondents in respect of deemed date of Promotion of the Petitioner, the Petitioner has failed to demonstrate the basis for the challenge and no legal submissions were advanced. The Review filed was also rejected.

2 Learned counsel for the Petitioner also submits that proceeding on the basis that the orders passed in respect of the Petitioner are not

JYOTI
RAJESH
MANE

Digitally signed
by JYOTI RAJESH
MANE
Date: 2023.08.29
14:23:09 +0530

Jyoti Mane

set aside and the same stand as on date, based on the said orders, certain benefits accrue to the Petitioner and those atleast should be disbursed to the Petitioner. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that based on the orders that are already passed, the Petitioner will make a representation to the Respondents.

3 That being a position, we dispose of the Petition granting liberty to the Petitioner to make a representation as mentioned above.

4 If Petitioner is entitled to certain benefits based on the orders that are already been passed, which are not set aside, it is open to the Respondents to proceed to disburse the same after verifying the factual position.

5. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)