

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO. 1129 OF 1992
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2318 OF 1993
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2319 OF 1993
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 1129 OF 1992

HUSENBASHA
RAHAMAN
NADAF
Digitally signed
by
HUSENBASHA
RAHAMAN
NADAF
Date: 2023.12.22
19:25:36 +0530

Maharashtra Housing And Area Development ...Appellants/
And Anr. ...Applicants

Versus

Smt. Shantabai K. Sonimaraliya ...Respondent

Ms. Sayali Apte i/b. P.G. Lad, Advocate for Appellants.

Ms. Tanaya Goswami, AGP for State.

Mr. M. S. Bhandari, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1(A) to 1(F), 2 & 3.

CORAM : M.M. SATHAYE, J.

DATE : 22nd DECEMBER, 2023

P.C. :

1. Learned counsel for the Applicant/Appellant - MHADA appears and make a statement that in view of the fact that the impugned Decree has already been executed long ago, the appeal as well as the applications have become infructuous. In view of the said statement, the above First Appeal as well as above pending applications are dismissed as infructuous. No order as to cost.

2. All concerned to act on duly authenticated or digitally signed copy of this order.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)