

Ashwini V

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 5024 OF 2023**

Rajendra Narayan Rane

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra through its Secretary
Ministry of Housing & Ors

...Respondents

Ms Minal Chandnani, i/b JS Chandnani, for the Petitioner.

Mrs AA Purav, AGP, for the Respondent No.1-State.

Mr Jagdish Reddy, with Abhijit Patil, for Respondent No.2-SRA.

Mr Sanjay Jain, with Swapnil Bangur, for Respondent No.3-Developer.

**CORAM: G.S. Patel &
Neela Gokhale, JJ.**

DATED: 24th April 2023

PC:-

1. On the face of it, the Petition is not maintainable. Prayer clause (a) seeks a declaration of tenancy. Prayer clause (b) is for an injunction against dispossession. These are not prayers that can be granted in our writ jurisdiction especially in the context of a Development Control Regulation 33(11) development where the Slum Rehabilitation Authority is only a Planning Authority or a Special Planning Authority.

2. The Petition is rejected.

3. The Petitioner is at liberty to pursue any alternative remedy that he may have in law.

(Neela Gokhale, J)

(G. S. Patel, J)