

Digitally signed by
SATISH RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR

Date: 2023.04.11
17:39:19 +0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 649 OF 2023

Premkumar Gurunath Patil and Ors. ...Applicants

vs.

State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1015 OF 2023
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 649 OF 2023**

Omkar Balaram Patil ...Applicant

In the matter between

Premkumar Gurunath Patil and Ors. ...Applicants

vs.

State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr.Salman Khan - Advocate for Applicant in ABA No.649 of 2023.
Mr.Aniruddh A. Takalkar a/w Ms.Gayatri A. Takalkar i/b. Mr.Avinash
Naik – Advocates for Applicant-Intervenor in IA No.1015 of 2023.
Mr.H.J.Dedhia – APP for the Respondent-State.
Mr.M.B.Pandhare – PSI – Narpoli Police Station – Bhiwandi – Thane.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 6th APRIL, 2023

P.C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Applicants, learned APP for the Respondent-State as well as learned Advocate for the First-Informant.
2. After hearing them and after going through the papers, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail. It is for the reason that there are specific allegations against these Applicants about role played by them. It also mentions what are the weapons they have used.
3. There are two injured persons. One is the First-Informant and another is Thakurdas Yashwant Patil. Out of them, First-Informant Omkar Patil has received more serious injuries. Though initially, offence under Section 326 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 [“IPC”] was not invoked, after the medical certificate, it was invoked. He took treatment first in Indira Gandhi Hospital and thereafter, to a private hospital.
4. Learned APP from the investigation papers, shown to me those certificates and also the statements recorded of the witnesses including injured. Furthermore, there are criminal antecedents against the Applicant – Premkumar and Applicant – Haresh. Allegations are there and custodial interrogation is required.

5. Hence, Application is rejected.
6. Interim Application is also disposed of.
7. All the parties to act on an authenticated copy of this order.
8. It is made clear that the observations made herein are prima facie, and the trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, in accordance with the law, uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]