

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.255 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION (STAMP) NO.93843 OF 2020

Rajendra Uttamrao Patil .. Petitioner
Vs.
Maharashtra Pollution Control Board and Anr. .. Respondents

Dr. Uday P. Warunjikar, with Mr. Siddesh Pilankar, for the Petitioner.
Mr. Jitendra P. Jagtap for Respondent No.1.
Mr. N.C. Walimbe, Addl. G.P., with Mr. S.B. Kalel, AGP, for Respondent No.2-
State.

CORAM : A.S. CHANDURKAR &
FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, JJ

DATE : 28TH NOVEMBER, 2023.

P.C. :

1. This Court had directed the respondents in the writ petition to decide the petitioner's representation dated 3rd September 2019 by 28th February 2021. Since this direction was not complied with, the present contempt petition has been filed.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that on 6th May 2022, the said representation has been decided but against the petitioner. The petitioner has filed a separate writ petition challenging the decision taken on the representation of the petitioner.

3. Though we find that the time indicated for deciding the representation has not been scrupulously complied with, we are not inclined to take any further action in contempt jurisdiction. Since the petitioner has already challenged the decision taken by respondent no.1, all contentions can be urged there. The present contempt proceedings are accordingly disposed of.

[FIRDOSH P. POONTWALLA, J.]

[A.S. CHANDURKAR, J.]