

Shiv

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO.814 OF 2022**

Ramesh Ratan Jadhav ... Applicant
Vs.
State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Rakesh Bhatkar i/b Mr. Mohan N. Devkule for the Applicant.
Mr. N. B. Patil APP for Respondent No.1-State.
Mr. Vikas K. Singh, Appointed Advocate for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATED : 25TH JANUARY 2023

PC:-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Applicant, learned APP for Respondent No.1 and learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.
2. It is submitted on behalf of Respondent No.2 that as per information collected from the District Court website, the trial Court has completed recording of evidence well in time. Now the matter is fixed for hearing arguments. The photocopy of status is taken on record and marked as Annexure "X".
3. In view of above let the trial Court to decide the matter on merits.
4. Instead of deciding this Application on merits, it is disposed of without expressing any opinion on merits. Depending upon outcome

of the case, the Appellant/Applicant is at liberty to take appropriate action.

5. Office is directed to pay fees of learned Advocate appointed on behalf of Respondent No.2.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)