

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.3130 OF 2022
IN
SECOND APPEAL (ST) NO.2207 OF 2020**

Jotiram @ Jotiba Bhiku Javal and Ors. ...Applicants
Versus
Tejasvini Arjun Javal and Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.3131 OF 2022
IN
SECOND APPEAL (ST) NO.2207 OF 2020**

Jotiram @ Jotiba Bhiku Javal and Ors. ...Applicants
Versus
Tejasvini Arjun Javal and Anr. ...Respondents

- Ms. Manjiri Parasnis, for the Applicants.

**CORAM : VINAY JOSHI, J.
DATE : 12th SEPTEMBER 2023**

P.C.:

1. Heard Ms. Manjiri Parasnis, learned counsel for the Applicants. It is submitted that the office Clerk was suffering from acute ailment and therefore, the matter could not be attended. She undertakes to remove office objections.
2. The Second Appeal was pending for removal of office objections, however, as the requisite compliance was not made, vide order dated

18th November 2021 of the Registrar (Judicial-II), it came to be dismissed.

3. In view of the reason, for sub-serving the interest of justice, delay is condoned. The impugned order dated 18th November 2021 is quashed and set aside. The proceeding is restored.

4. Applicant shall remove office objections within a period of four weeks strictly, failing which the Appeal shall be treated as dismissed.

[VINAY JOSHI, J.]