

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 149 of 2022

Piyush Baburao Rupnawar .. Appellant
Versus
State of Maharashtra and anr .. Respondents

...

Mr. Advait U. Shukla i/b Amey Mahadik and Mr. Rajesh Chavhan
for the appellant.

Mr. Y.M. Nakhwa, APP for the State.

None for respondent no.2.

CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE, J.
DATED : 7th SEPTEMBER, 2023

P.C:-

1 The respondent no.2 on being noticed was represented by Advocate Hare Krishna and he sought time to file vakalatnama till date. Even on 28/7/2023, the counsel sought time to file vakalatnama but has failed to do so. Today even, he is not appearing.

2 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and perused the order dated 16/2/2022, which has recorded that the three persons whose statements are recorded are not independent witnesses.

3 The interim order being passed on 16/2/2022 continue till date, and it is informed that on completion of the investigation, charge-sheet is also filed.

In wake of the above, since the custodial interrogation of the applicant cannot be sought on filing the charge-sheet, as the investigation is over, the order dated 16/2/2022 is made absolute.

(SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.)