

Vidya Amin

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 903 OF 2023
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 904 OF 2023

M/s. HIL (India) Ltd.

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Rahul Thakar i/b. Mr. C.B. Thakar for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti D. Vyas, 'B' Panel counsel for the respondent-State.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ.
DATED: 16 June, 2023

P.C.

1. Although, Ms. Shruti Vyas, learned AGP for the respondent/State has raised objection in regard to the maintainability of the petition on the ground that an appeal against the order passed under sub-sections (2) or (4) of Section 30 of the Maharashtra Value Added Tax Act, 2002 cannot be appealed, she would not dispute that the issue of law for consideration in the present proceedings would stand covered by our decision dated 6 June, 2023 in the case of *S.K. Trading vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. in Writ Petition No. 319 of 2020*.

2. We, accordingly, dispose of these petitions in terms of the said decision.
No costs.

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)