

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION NO. 9 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 7158 OF 2021

MEP Infrastructure Developers Ltd. ... Petitioner

V/s.

South Delhi Municipal Corporation ... Respondents
and Ors.

Mr. Rafiq Dada, Senior Advocate with Ms. Madhu Gadodia with Mr. Deepak Chitnis, with Mr. Vivek Dwivedi with Mr. Hrishikesh Nadkarni I.by Naik Naik and Co. for petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Joshi, Senior Advocate with Mr. Sanjay Vashishth with Mr. Shreyas Shrivastava with Mr. Tanmay Bidkar with Mr. Aakash Chandran with Mrs. Tanisha Choudhary with Mr. Hemant Kumar Fauzdar for respondent no. 1 in RPW/9/2022.

Ms. M.P. Thakur-AGP for the State.

CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE &
MILIND N. JADHAV, J.J.

DATE : 20th JULY 2023.

PC. :

Heard.

2. Although, learned Senior counsel for the review petitioner submits that review petitioner was not joined as a party respondent to the original petition which is true in fact, we are of the view that non joining of the review petitioner as a party respondent to the original

petition has not caused any prejudice to the review petitioner as other remedies for redressal of it's grievance are already available to the petitioner and they have not been foreclosed so far. After all, by the order under review this Court has only accepted the statement made by the learned AGP that the respondents would have no objection if prayer clause (a) is granted.

3. In this view of the matter, we find no error apparent on the face of record. The review petition stands dismissed. All contentions are kept open.

(MILIND N. JADHAV, J)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J)