

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1993 OF 2023

Mr. Ashish Mhatre

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Amogh K. Karandikar, for the Petitioner.

Mr. K. K. Malpathak, for Respondent No. 2.

Mr. B. V. Samant, AGP for the State.

**CORAM : DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR AND
SANDEEP V. MARNE, JJ.**

DATE : 03rd JULY, 2023.

P.C.:

. The Petitioner has filed the present petition *inter alia* alleging that out of the eleven complaints which were filed by the Petitioner before Respondent No. 3 i.e. the Deputy Registrar of the Co-operative Housing Societies, only one complaint has been decided without granting an opportunity of being heard and permitting the Petitioner to support and buttress his allegations made in the complaint. The second limb of the argument of learned Counsel for the Petitioner is that ten other complaints which have been filed

over a period of time from 15th February, 2022 onwards, still remain undecided. A direction is sought to Respondent No. 3 to decide the same in accordance with law.

2. Considering the fact that the Petitioner also has an alternate remedy of filing a revision petition against such a decision, it would be advisable for the Petitioner to avail such a remedy. Insofar as the pending complaints are concerned, the same may be decided by Respondent No. 3 within a period of three months from today in accordance with law as also grant to the Petitioner an opportunity of being heard.

3. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)

(DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR, J.)