

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2534 OF 2020

Kisan Shravan Sabale

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India (Through)

Divisional Railway Manager,

Central Railway, Mumbai Division & Ors.

... Respondents

.....

Mr.K.Babu Rahjan, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms.Sangeeta Yadav a/w. Mr.Shetty, Advocate for the Respondents.

Ms.Mohinee Chougule i/b. Mr.Suresh Kumar, Advocate for Respondent No.6.

.....

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.**

DATE : 06 DECEMBER 2023.

P.C. :

The learned counsel for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner has taken the papers from him and also taken no objection from him. No alternate arrangement is made. Perusal of the impugned order would show that the Petitioner had requested that he may be permitted to take voluntary retirement and his son may be appointed on compassionate basis. The said request was rejected. At that time, the age of the Petitioner was 54 years. Today, the Petitioner must have crossed the age of regular superannuation. Even otherwise, during the pendency of the Original Application though

RAJESHRI
PRAKASH
AHER

Digitally signed by
RAJESHRI PRAKASH
AHER
Date: 2023.12.15
15:05:07 +0530

the Petitioner's request of voluntary retirement was not accepted, his request to give alternate post in view of his illness was accepted by the Respondents. Perhaps this is the reason the Petitioner is not interested in prosecuting this Petition.

2 Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)