



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1131 OF 2019

Ajay Govindswami Dara

...Petitioner

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 9911 OF 2022
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 9912 OF 2022

Mr. R. K. Mendadkar a/w. Ms. Komal Gaikwad for Petitioner

Mr. N. V. Bandiwadekar, Sr. Advocate i/b. Ms. Renuka Kavedia for Applicant
in IA/3311/22.

Mr. N. V. Bandiwadekar, Sr. Advocate i/b. Ms. Deepali Bagla for Applicant in
IA/9912/2023.

Mr. V. M. Mali, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2-State.

CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE, AND
FIRDOSH P POONIWALLA, J.J.
DATE : 8th SEPTEMBER 2023

PC.

1. We find that the order passed by Scrutiny Committee, Amaravati has been challenged in the Petition. That being so, this Court does not have any territorial jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Petition and therefore this Petition would have to be dismissed as not maintainable here with necessary liberty granted to the Petitioner.

2. Petition stands dismissed with liberty to the Petitioner to file a fresh Petition on the same cause of action before the forum having

territorial jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Petition. Similar liberty is granted to the intervene-applicants.

3. The Interim Applications stand disposed of in terms of the final order passed in the Petition.

(FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, J.)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)