



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3209 OF 2020

Milind Kashiram Shinde

... Petitioner.

Versus

Uday Shantaram Parab and Anr.

... Respondents.

Mr. Jayesh M. Joshi, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Pawar, Advocate for the Respondent no.1.

CORAM : SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : DECEMBER 13, 2023.

P. C. :

1. Consent terms executed by the Petitioner and the Respondent No.1 are tendered. The same are taken on record and marked as “X” for identification.

2. Considering that the consent terms were executed between the Petitioner and the Respondent No.1, although no appearance is caused on behalf of the Respondent No.2, this Court had directed the Petitioner to give notice to the Respondent No.2 alongwith copy of the consent terms. The affidavit of service in that regard has been filed. Today, none appears for the Respondent No.2. On the last occasion, the Petitioner and the Respondent No.1 were present in-person and their identities were verified.

3. Petition is disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

(Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.)