

Pdp

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 83 OF 2023

Subash Chandra Vashishth

.. Petitioner

Versus

Reserve Bank of India

.. Respondent

Mr. Dipesh Siroya with Snehal Khatate i/by LIM Legal for petitioner.

**CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA, ACTING CJ. &
SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.**

DATE: APRIL 26, 2023

Digitally
signed by
PRAVIN
DASHARATH
PANDIT
Date:
2023.04.27
10:56:24
+0530

P.C.:

- 1.** The matter is filed in the nature of public interest litigation.
- 2.** The grievance of the petitioner is that though 22 posts were reserved for persons with disability and 20 persons had appeared for interview, only 8 were selected. 7% grace marks were given and they were brought on par with open competition category.
- 3.** The circular suggests that if no person with disability makes it to the select list on own merits, then only those candidates as per region wise notified vacancies of persons with disability will be granted 7% grace marks.
- 4.** It would appear that concession was granted to the persons with disability. Even age relaxation is granted as per said circular. Moreover, none of the candidates from persons with disability has challenged the non-selection.

5. In service jurisprudence, the PIL can only be filed to the extent of writ of *quo warranto*. We may consider the grievance of a person with disability qua the concerned selection process if the person with disability assails the same.

6. With the aforesaid observations, PIL petition is disposed of. No costs.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)

(ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)