

Rajeshri Aher

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 398 OF 2023**

Raju Kanhu Jadhav

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

...Respondents

....

None for Petitioner.

Mr. Deelip Patil Bankar, Chief Standing Counsel SCEA for Respondent No.3.

Ms. Kavita N. Solunke, AGP, for Respondent-State.

Mr. Sarang Aradhya, Advocate for Respondent No.4

....

**CORAM : K. R. SHRIRAM &
RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATED : 20th April 2023

P.C.:

1 The primary grievance in the Petition is that Petitioner was not allowed to contest the elections. Ms.Solunke for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, Mr.Patil Bankar for Respondent No.3, and Mr.Sarang Aradhya for Respondent No.4 state that the election is already over, and, therefore, this Petition has become infructuous.

2 Petition therefore disposed, as infructuous.

3 Should Petitioner be unhappy with the election results, he may take such alternative remedy, as available, in accordance with law.

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)

(K. R. SHRIRAM, J.)