

Anand

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 5685 OF 2018
(THROUGH JAIL)**

Gajanan Hari Patil

.Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

.Respondent

None for the Petitioner

Ms S. D. Shinde, APP, for the Respondent – State

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
M. M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATE : 28 MARCH 2023

P. C.

. None for the Petitioner. Heard learned APP for the Respondent – State.

2. Perused reply filed by the State. The reply of the State shows that request of the Petitioner for his transfer to open prison would be considered now as per the ranking in the seniority of the prisoners. The reply does not show that the competent authority has not considered request of the Petitioner in accordance with law. That being so, we are of the opinion that purpose of the representation has stood served.

3. The Petition is, therefore, disposed of as having served the purpose.

(M. M. SATHAYE, J.)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)