

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 9386 OF 2021
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 14547 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 9386 OF 2021

The Chief Conservator of Forests (T)
Kolhapur ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Sachin Appaso Patil and Another ... Respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9387 OF 2021
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 14550 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 9387 OF 2021

The Chief Conservator of Forests (T)
Kolhapur ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Rajendra Sureshrao Patil and Another ... Respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION (STAMP) NO. 17539 OF 2021

Hanmant Prakash Patil ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Sachin Appaso Patil and Another ... Respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION (STAMP) NO. 17540 OF 2021

Sagar Yashwant Thorwat ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Rajendra Sureshrao Patil and Another ... Respondents.

.....

Ms R. A. Salunkhe, AGP for the Petitioner-State in WP/9386/2021 and WP/9387/2021 and for the Respondent-State in WP(ST)/17539/2021 and WP(ST)/17540/2021.

Mr. Gaurav Bandiwadekar for the Respondents in WP/9386/2021 and WP/9387/2021.

None for the Petitioners in WP(ST)/17539/2021 and WP(ST)/17540/2021.

.....

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR, AND
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.**

DATE : 5 October 2023.

P.C. :

Writ Petition Nos. 9386 of 2021 and 9387 of 2021 are filed by the State and Writ Petition (Stamp) Nos. 17539 of 2021 and 17540 of 2021 are filed by the private Respondents in the Original Applications.

2 The Respondents/Original Applicants had filed their respective applications before the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal challenging their order of transfer. The Tribunal by the

common impugned order dated 10 August 2021 set aside the order of transfer and directed that the Respondents/Original Applicants be re-posted on the post from where they were transferred. The further directions regarding posting of the Petitioners in Writ Petition (Stamp) Nos. 17539 of 2021 and 17540 of 2021, who were private respondents in the Original Applications, were also issued. This order has been challenged in these four petitions.

3 The learned Counsel appearing for the Respondents/Original Applicants states that even though the order of transfer was quashed and set aside, there was an interim stay in these petitions and thereafter the Respondent/Original Applicants have been re-posted to another transfer posting and they have accepted those transfers. Statement is accepted. In view of these changed circumstances, the cause for filing these petitions does not survive. The writ petitions are accordingly disposed of.

4 If the subsequent developments are prejudicial to any party, it is open to the parties to approach the Tribunal which is the Court of first instance.

5 In view of the disposal of the writ petitions, the interim applications do not survive and the same are accordingly disposed of.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)