

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.95 OF 2022

Rajendra Pandurang Survase

....Petitioner

V/S

Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Vidyalyay
through its Head Master & Ors.

....Respondents

...

Mr. I.M. Khairdi for the Petitioner.

Mr. Priyal Sardar for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Anand S. Kulkarni for Respondent Nos.3, 4 and 11.

Mr. P.P. Kakade, GP a/w Mrs. R.A. Salunkhe, AGP for Respondent No.12-State.

...

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA, ACJ &
SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.**

DATE : 13 APRIL 2023.

P.C.:

1 The primary grievance of the Petitioner is that Respondent Nos.1 and 2 are unauthorisedly running the school without proper infrastructure. Time to time the Petitioner has made correspondence with the Authorities but no cognizance is taken.

2 The learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 and 2 submits that Respondent Nos.1 and 2 have permission to run the school upto 5th standard and that it stopped running the school even before filing of the PIL.

3 The parties are *ad idem* that now the school about which the Petitioner has grievance is no longer operational.

4 In light of that Petitioners grievance may not subsist. If on any other aspect the Petitioner has any grievance, he may approach the Authorities. The Authorities may consider and decide the grievance of the Petitioner in accordance with law on its own merits.

5 The PIL is disposed of. No costs.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)

(ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)