

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.4246 OF 2004**

The Working President Akhil Bhartiya
Bhatake Vimukta Vikas and Research
Institute and Anr. ... Petitioners
versus
Chandrashekhar Narayan Panaskar and Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. K.P.Shah i/by Mr. P.B.Shah for Petitioners.
Mr. Dilip Bodake for Respondent No.1.
Mr. C.D.Mali, AGP for Respondent No.2.
Mr. Laxman Vishwas Dhane, Head Master, present.
Mr. Chandrashekhar Narayan Panaskar, Respondent No.1 present.

CORAM: N.J.JAMADAR, J.

DATE : 18 APRIL 2023

P.C.

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.
2. The learned Counsel for Petitioners and the Respondent No.1 make a joint statement that the Petitioners and Respondent No.1 have amicably resolved the dispute and consent terms have been executed. The learned Counsel seek leave to tender consent terms.
3. Leave granted.
4. The Head Master of the School – Petitioner No.2 and the Respondent No.1 are present before the Court. They admit the contents of the consent terms and the execution thereof. It appears that the parties have worked out a comprehensive

settlement of the dispute and there is no coercion or duress.

5. Hence, the Consent Terms are taken on record and marked 'X'.

6. The Petition stands disposed of in accordance with the consent terms 'X'.

(N.J.JAMADAR, J.)