

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 452 OF 2023

Vikramsinh Kumarsinh Chavan	...Applicant
vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

Adv. Monika Poonia, Adv. Keval Khandagale i/by Adv. Sarala Shinde -
Advocate for the Applicant
Mr. H. J. Dedhia – APP for the Respondent-State

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 20th MARCH, 2023

P. C. :-

1. This Court has already heard both the sides on 27/02/2023. There is an allegation in the F.I.R. that the present Applicant met the first informant on 15/03/2022 in the premises of Zilla Parishad, Satara and collected certain appointment documents from him and assured him training. This fact is denied by the Applicant.

2. In addition to that prosecution relied upon an attempt made on behalf of the Applicant to refund the amount collected by the accused no. 1 from the Complainant. It was through mobile

banking and extract is shown to me. The Applicant claims that he wants to help accused no. 1 and he was not knowing the complainant. The mobile entries do show that attempt was made to transfer certain amount to the Complainant. It is difficult to believe that this attempt was made in order to help the accused no. 1. This will not come to rescue of the Applicant at this stage.

3. Even though the Applicant claims that he was ill and taken treatment from the Aadhar I.C.U. and Multi Speciality Hospital, today prosecution has shown to me copy of the certificate showing about amputation of left 3rd toe.

4. It may be true however it is difficult to disbelieve the first informant on the point of meeting the Applicant in the premises of the Zilla Parishad, Satara. This shows prima-facie involvement of the Applicant. Custodial interrogation is required. No case for anticipatory bail is made out. These are prima-facie observations.

5. Hence anticipatory bail application is rejected.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]