

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 351 OF 2019
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 106 OF 2016**

Shri Sakharam Mahadeo Naik ..Petitioner
VS.
The State of Maharashtra and ors. ..Respondents

Mr. Swapnil Telang a/w Mr. Umesh R. Mankapure, for the
Petitioner.
Mr. N.M. Pabale, AGP for the State -Respondent No.1.
Mr. Ajit R. Pitale, for Respondents no. 2 to 6.

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA, ACJ &
SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.**

DATED : MARCH 8, 2023

P.C. :

- 1.** The contempt petition is filed on the premise that in terms of the order dated 03/08/2016 in the Writ Petition No. 106 of 2016, the representation is not yet decided.
- 2.** The request of the petitioner for ACPS benefit is negatived vide order dated dated 18/11/2016.
- 3.** In view of that, the contempt
- 4.** stands purged. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the order passed by the respondents negating the claim of the

petitioner, then the petitioner is entitled to assail the same in accordance with law.

5. The contempt petition is disposed of. No costs.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J)

(ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)