

Amrut

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.1045 OF 2017

SAJJAN KONADKAR.

... Petitioner

Versus

PRADEEP SRIPAD PATEKAR

AND ANR.

... Respondents

Mr. T. Rebello holding for Mr. V. Amonkar, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. Shirin Naik, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

CORAM: M. S. SONAK, J.

DATED : 3rd FEBRUARY 2023

P.C.:

1. The controversy in this petition concerns the tenure of the Panchayat which ended in June 2022. Therefore, the reliefs in this petition have been rendered infructuous.

2. Mr. S. Naik, learned counsel for Respondent No.1 relies on *Podipireddy Atchuta Desai Vs Chinnam Joga Rao and others*¹, in which it is held that when fresh elections have been taken place, the question of validity of dismissal of election petition against the earlier election need not be decided. The Hon'ble Supreme Court held that this would be only an academic issue.

¹ 1987 (Supp) SCC 42

3. For the above reasons, this petition is disposed of as infructuous.

M. S. SONAK, J.

TARI AMRUT NAGESH Digitally signed by TARI AMRUT NAGESH
Date: 2023.02.04 18:02:20 +05'30'