

Niti

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.21 OF 2023

RAUL DA COSTA

... PETITIONER

Versus

**STATE OF GOA THR. THE
CHIEF SECRETARY AND 6
ORS.**

... RESPONDENTS

Mr. Rohit Bras De Sa, Advocate for the Petitioner.

**Mr. D. Pangam, Advocate General with Ms. Akshata Bhat,
Additional Government Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 4, 6.**

**Mr. Deepak Gaonkar with Mr. Amey Salgaonkar, Advocate for
Respondent No.5.**

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA, ACJ &
M.S. SONAK, JJ.**

DATE : 21st FEBRUARY 2023

P.C. :

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that unauthorised illegal construction is carried out by respondent no.7. Inspite of making complaints blind eye has been turned by the Authorities and no action has been initiated.

2. The learned Counsel for the Village Panchayat – respondent no.5 submits that site inspection is conducted on 01.02.2023. The learned Advocate further submits that now the meeting has been

scheduled on 24.02.2023 and the the further action would be taken by the Panchayat in accordance with law. The statement is accepted.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner further submits that even permission under the Goa Land Revenue Code for conversion of the land is not obtained. According to the learned Counsel representation has been made by the petitioner to respondent no.3 and respondent no.3 has not taken cognizance of the same.

4. As the Panchayat has already taken steps pursuant to the complaint of the petitioner, naturally, the Panchayat would proceed ahead in accordance with law.

5. As far as the representation of the petitioner with respondent no.3 is concerned, respondent no.3 may take decision upon the representation of the petitioner on its merits and in accordance with law and as expeditiously as possible.

6. With these observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of with no costs.

M. S. SONAK, J.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

NITI K
HALDANKAR

Digitally signed by NITI
K HALDANKAR
Date: 2023.02.21
18:10:35 +05'30'