

Niti

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.841 OF 2019

LAXIMAN D. GOVENKAR
(DEC) THR. LR.,
DATTARAM B. GOVEKAR

....PETITIONER

Versus

MAPUSA MUNICIPAL
COUNCIL, THR. ITS CHIEF
OFFICER AND ANR.

....RESPONDENTS

**Mr Sudin Usgaonkar, Senior Advocate with Ms T. Mashelkar,
Advocate for the Petitioner.**

Mr S.D. Padiyar, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

**Mr J.P. Mulgaonkar, Senior Advocate with Ms Rupa
Banaulikar, Advocate for Respondent No.2.**

CORAM: M. S. SONAK, J.

DATE : 21st APRIL 2023

P.C. :

1. Mr Padiyar, learned Counsel for MMC places on record Show Cause Notice dated 24.04.2014 and the document of transgression annexed to the same.
2. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for purposes of identification.

3. Mr Padiyar, on instructions, states that in so far as repairs to the existing house by plastering the walls with cement plaster and providing tiles to the flooring of the hall are concerned, MMC will take no further action. Accordingly, this statement is recorded and the MMC is directed not to take any further action regards the plastering and the tiling to the existing house shown in colour green in the document of transgression.

4. Mr Usgaonkar, the learned Senior Advocate for the petitioner, based on instructions from the petitioner Dattaram Govekar, who is present in Court, seeks leave to withdraw the petition. Mr Usgaonkar states that the RCC pillars in colour yellow on transgression plan have already been removed by the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, after recording Mr Padiyar's statement, this petition is permitted to be withdrawn. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

6. The interim order granted earlier is hereby vacated.

M. S. SONAK, J.

NITI K
HALDANKAR

 Digitally signed by
NITI K HALDANKAR
Date: 2023.04.21
18:27:21 +05'30'