

Amrut

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 360 OF 2022

IN

SECOND APPEAL NO. 5 OF 2018

BARTOLOMEO ROQUE CAETANO
COUTINHO, REP. BY HIS CONST.
ATT., PATRICIO OCTAVIANO
COUTINHO

... Applicant

Versus

ROSA FELIZARDA FERNANDES E
COUTINHO (DEC) THR. HER LRS.
AND 3 ORS.

... Respondents

Ms. Pooja Naik, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Siddharth Dhakankar, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1(a) and 1(b).

Mr. K. Kavlekar, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

CORAM: M. S. SONAK, J.

DATED : 8th MARCH 2023

P.C.:

1. Heard Ms. P. Naik, learned counsel for the Applicant, Mr. S. Dhakankar, learned counsel for Respondent Nos.1(a) and 1(b) and Mr. K. Kavlekar, learned counsel for Respondent No.2.

2. Considering the cause shown, the delay of four days in filing the present application is sufficiently explained. The delay is therefore

condoned. Abatement if any, is set aside and leave is granted to bring on record the legal representatives of the deceased Appellant.

3. Ms. P. Naik states that necessary amendment will be carried out to the cause title within two weeks from today. She further states that she would be appearing on behalf of the legal representatives of the deceased Appellant and necessary Vakalatnama to this effect, if not already filed, will be filed within two weeks from today.

4. The Misc. Civil Application is disposed of in the above terms.

M. S. SONAK, J.

TARI AMRUT NAGESH | Digitally signed by TARI AMRUT NAGESH
Date: 2023.03.09 11:22:19 +05'30'